

# **Foundations of Databases**

## **Relational Query Languages**

**Free University of Bozen – Bolzano, 2005**

**Thomas Eiter**

**Institut für Informationssysteme**

**Arbeitsbereich Wissensbasierte Systeme (184/3)**

**Technische Universität Wien**

**`http://www.kr.tuwien.ac.at/staff/eiter`**

## Databases

- collection of highly structured data
- along with a set of access and control mechanisms

We deal with them every day:

- airline reservation systems,
- personnel directories,
- store inventories,
- bank account information, etc etc

## Goals of a Database System

- Provide users with a meaningful view of data:
  - Hide from them irrelevant detail → abstract view of data
- Support various operations on data
  - queries: getting answers from databases
  - updates: changing information in databases
- Control data
  - concurrency control

## The Relational Data Model

- Data is organized in relations (tables)
- Relational database schema:
  - set of table names
  - list of attributes for each table
- Tables are specified as: `<table name>:<list of attributes>`
- Examples:
  - `Account: number, branch, customerId`
  - `Movie: title, director, actor`
  - `Schedule: theater, title`
- Attributes within a table have different names
- Tables have different names

**Example: relational database**

Movie	title	director	actor
	Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson
	Player	Altman	Robbins
	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson
	Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski
	Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve

Schedule	theater	title
	Le Champo	Shining
	Le Champo	Chinatown
	Le Champo	Player
	Odéon	Chinatown
	Odéon	Repulsion

## Formal Definitions

- **att** ... countably infinite set of *attributes*

Assumption: total ordering  $\leq_{\text{att}}$  on **att**

- **dom** ... countably infinite set called *domain*

Elements of **dom** are called *constants*

- **relname** ... countably infinite set of *relation names*

**dom**, **att** and **relname** are disjoint.

- Function  $sort : \mathbf{relname} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{fin}(\mathbf{att})$  associates with each relation name  $R$  a finite set of attributes  $sort(R)$ .

Proviso:  $sort^{-1}(U)$  is infinite, for each  $U \in \mathcal{P}^{fin}(\mathbf{att})$ .

- $arity(R) = |sort(R)|$  is the number of attributes

- Notation: Often  $R[U]$  where  $U = sort(R)$ , or

$R : A_1, \dots, A_n$  if  $sort(R) = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  and  $A_1 \leq_{\text{att}} \dots \leq_{\text{att}} A_n$ .

Example:  $sort(\text{Account}) = \{ \text{number}, \text{branch}, \text{customerId} \}$

denoted  $\text{Account} : \text{number}, \text{branch}, \text{customerId}$

- A *relation schema* is a relation name  $R$
- A *database schema*  $\mathbf{R}$  is a nonempty finite set of relation schemas.

Example: Database schema  $\mathbf{C} = \{ \text{Account}, \text{Movie}, \text{Schedule} \}$

$\text{Account} : \text{number}, \text{branch}, \text{customerId}$

$\text{Movie} : \text{title}, \text{director}, \text{actor}$

$\text{Schedule} : \text{theater}, \text{title}$

## Tuples

- A *tuple* is a function

$$t : U \rightarrow \mathbf{dom}$$

mapping a finite set  $U \subseteq \mathbf{att}$  to constants.

Example: Tuple  $t$  on  $\mathit{sort}(\mathbf{Movie})$  such that

$$t(\mathbf{title}) = \mathbf{Shining}$$

$$t(\mathbf{director}) = \mathbf{Kubrick}$$

$$t(\mathbf{actor}) = \mathbf{Nicholson}$$

- $U = \emptyset$ : Empty tuple, denoted  $\langle \rangle$ .

- Notation:

$\langle \mathbf{title} : \mathbf{Shining}, \mathbf{director} : \mathbf{Kubrick}, \mathbf{actor} : \mathbf{Nicholson} \rangle$

$t[V]$  ... restriction of  $t$  to  $V \subseteq U$



## Unnamed Perspective

- Other view: Ignore names of attributes, only arity of relations is available
- View a tuple as element of a Cartesian product of **dom**.
- A tuple  $t$  of arity  $n \geq 0$  is an element of **dom** <sup>$n$</sup> .

Example: tuple  $t = \langle \text{Shining}, \text{Kubrick}, \text{Nicholson} \rangle$

- Access components via position  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ :

$t(2) = \text{Kubrick}$

- Note: Because of  $\leq_{\text{att}}$ , unnamed and named perspective naturally correspond

## Relation and Database Instances

- A *relation* or *relation instance* of a relation schema  $R[U]$  is a finite set of tuples on  $U$ .
- A *database instance* of database schema  $\mathbf{R}$  is a mapping  $\mathbf{I}$  which assigns each  $R \in \mathbf{R}$  a relation instance.
- Other perspectives: Logic programming, first-order logic

## Logic programming perspective

- A *fact* over relation  $R$  with arity  $n$  is an expression  $R(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{dom}$ .
- A relation (instance) is a finite set of facts over  $R$
- A database instance  $\mathbf{I}$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  is the union of relation instances for each  $R \in \mathbf{R}$

Example:

$$\mathbf{I} = \{ \text{Movie}(\text{Shining}, \text{Kubrick}, \text{Nicholson}), \text{Movie}(\text{Player}, \text{Altman}, \text{Robbins}), \\ \text{Movie}(\text{Chinatown}, \text{Polanski}, \text{Nicholson}), \\ \text{Movie}(\text{Chinatown}, \text{Polanski}, \text{Polanski}), \\ \text{Movie}(\text{Repulsion}, \text{Polanski}, \text{Deneuve}), \text{Schedule}(\text{Le Champo}, \text{Shining}), \\ \text{Schedule}(\text{Le Champo}, \text{Chinatown}), \text{Schedule}(\text{Le Champo}, \text{Player}), \\ \text{Schedule}(\text{Odeon}, \text{Chinatown}), \text{Schedule}(\text{Odeon}, \text{Repulsion}) \}$$

## First-order logic

- Reconstruct a database instance  $\mathbf{I}$  as *extended relational theory*  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{I}}$ :
  - Atoms  $R_i(\vec{a})$  for each  $\vec{a} \in \mathbf{I}(R_i)$
  - Extension Axioms  $\forall \vec{x}(R_i(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{a}_1 \vee \dots \vee \vec{x} = \vec{a}_m)$ , where  $\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_m$ , are all elements of  $R_i$  in  $\mathbf{I}$ , and '=' ranges over tuples of same arity.
  - Unique Name axioms:  $\neg(c_i = c_j)$  for each pair of distinct constants occurring in  $\mathbf{I}$ .
  - Domain closure axiom:  $\forall x(x = c_1 \vee \dots \vee x = c_n)$  where  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  is a listing of all constants occurring in  $\mathbf{I}$ .
- if '=' is not available, its intended meaning can be emulated with equality axioms.
- The interpretations of  $\mathbf{dom}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{I}}$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbf{I}$
- A set of sentences  $\Gamma$  is satisfied by  $\mathbf{I}$  iff  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{I}} \cup \Gamma$  is satisfiable

Other view: database instance  $\mathbf{I}$  as *finite relational structure* (finite universe of discourse; considered later)

**Database Queries: Examples**

- Find titles of current movies:

answer	title
	Shining
	Player
	Chinatown
	Repulsion

- Find theaters showing movies directed by Polanski:

answer	theater
	Le Champo
	Odéon

- Find theaters showing movies featuring Nicholson:

answer	theater
	Le Champo
	Odéon

- Find all directors who acted themselves:

answer	director
	Polanski

- Find directors whose movies are playing in all theaters:

answer	director
	Polanski

- Find theaters that only show movies featuring Nicholson:

answer	theater
--------	---------

but if Le Champo stops showing 'Player', the answer contains 'Le Champo'.

## How to ask a query?

- Query languages

Commercial: SQL

Theoretical: Relational Algebra, Relational calculus, datalog etc

- Query results: Tables constructed from tables in the database

## Declarative vs Procedural

- In our queries, we ask **what** we want to see in the output.
- But we do not say **how** we want to get this output.
- Thus, query languages are **declarative**: they specify what is needed in the output, but do not say how to get it.
- Database system figures out **how** to get the result, and gives it to the user.
- Database system operates internally with different, **procedural** languages, which specify how to get the result.



**Declarative vs Procedural: example**

Declarative:

$\{ \text{title} \mid (\text{title}, \text{director}, \text{actor}) \in \text{movie} \}$

Procedural:

for each tuple  $T=(t,d,a)$  in relation movies do

    output t

end

## **Declarative vs Procedural**

- Theoretical languages:

Declarative: relational calculus, rule-based queries

Procedural: relational algebra

- Practical languages: mix of both, but mostly one uses declarative features. (E.g., SQL / Embedded SQL)

## Conjunctive Queries

- Simple form of declarative, *rule-base queries*
- A *rule* says *when* certain elements belong to the answer.
- Find titles of current movies:

$\text{answer}(tl) :- \text{movie}(tl, \text{dir}, \text{act})$

- That is, while  $(tl, \text{dir}, \text{act})$  ranges over relation movies, output  $tl$  (the title attribute)

**Next example**

- Find theaters showing movies directed by Polanski:

$\text{answer}(\text{th}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{'Polanski'}, \text{act}), \text{schedule}(\text{th}, \text{tl})$

- While (tl, dir, act) range over tuples in movie, check if dir is 'Polanski'; if not, go to the next tuple, if yes, look at all tuples (th, tl) in schedule corresponding to the title tl in relation movie, and output th.
- Queries like this and the previous one are called *conjunctive queries*;

**Next example**

- Find theaters showing movies featuring Nicholson.
- Very similar to the previous example:

$\text{answer(th)} \text{ :- movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), schedule(th, tl)}$

- While (tl, dir, act) range over tuples in movie, check if act is 'Nicholson'; if not, go to the next tuple, if yes, look at all tuples (th, tl) corresponding to the title tl in relation movie, and output th.

This is the most common type of queries one asks.

- Find directors who acted in their own movies:

$\text{answer}(\text{dir}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{dir}=\text{act}$

- While  $(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act})$  ranges over tuples in `movie`, check if `dir` is the same as `act`, and output it if that is the case.

## Formal Definition

A rule-based conjunctive query with (in)equality  $q$  is an expression of form

$$\text{answer}(\vec{x}) :- R_1(\vec{x}_1), \dots, R_n(\vec{x}_n), \quad (1)$$

where  $n \geq 0$  and

- 'answer' is a relation name not in  $\mathbf{R} \cup \{ =, \neq \}$
- $R_1, \dots, R_n$  are relation names from  $\mathbf{R} \cup \{ =, \neq \}$
- $\vec{x}$  is a tuple of distinct variables with length =  $arity(\text{answer})$
- $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$  are tuples of variables and constants of suitable length
- Each variable must occur in some atom  $R_i(\vec{x}_i)$  where  $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$

Note: Equality '=' can be often eliminated

## Semantics

The *result* (aka image) of a conjunctive query  $q$  of form (1) on database instance  $\mathbf{I}$  is

$$q(\mathbf{I}) = \{\nu(\vec{x}) \mid \nu \text{ is a valuation over } \text{var}(q), \nu(x_i) \in \mathbf{I}(R_i), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

where a valuation  $\nu$  over  $\text{var}(q)$  is a mapping

$$\nu : \text{var}(q) \cup \mathbf{dom} \rightarrow \mathbf{dom}$$

which is the identity on  $\mathbf{dom}$ .

Example:  $q: \text{answer}(\text{dir}) :- \text{movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{dir}=\text{act}$

For  $\mathbf{I}$  from above, we obtain

$$q(\mathbf{I}) = \{ \langle \text{Polanski} \rangle \}$$



## Elementary properties of Conjunctive Queries

**Proposition.** Let  $q$  be a conjunctive query of form (1)

- $q(\mathbf{I})$  is finite, for any database instance  $\mathbf{I}$ .
- $q$  is monotonic, ie.,  $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{J}$  implies  $q(\mathbf{I}) \subseteq q(\mathbf{J})$ , for every database instances  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{J}$
- $q$  is satisfiable, ie., there exists some  $\mathbf{I}$  such that  $q(\mathbf{I}) \neq \emptyset$ , provided that '=', ' $\neq$ ' does not occur in  $q$ .

## A more complicated example

- Find directors whose movies are playing in all theaters.
- “All” is often problematic: one needs *universal quantifier*  $\forall$ .
- We use notation from mathematical logic:
- $\{ \text{dir} \mid \forall (\text{th}, \text{tl}) \in \text{schedule}$   
 $\quad \exists (\text{tl}', \text{act}): (\text{tl}', \text{dir}, \text{act}) \in \text{movie} \wedge (\text{th}, \text{tl}') \in \text{schedule} \}$
- That is, to see if director *dir* is in the answer, for each theater name *th*, check that there exists a tuple (*tl'*, *dir*, *act*) in *movie*, and a tuple (*th*, *tl'*) in *schedule*

Reminder:

- $\forall$  means “for all”,  $\exists$  means “exists”
- $\wedge$  is conjunction (logical AND)

Can we formulate this as a conjunctive query ?

**SQL**

- Structured Query Language (declarative)
- Latest standard: SQL-99, or SQL3, well over 1,000 pages
- "The nice thing about standards is that you have so many to choose from."  
– Andrew S. Tanenbaum.
- De-facto standard of the relational DB world – replaced all other languages.

Query structure:    `SELECT`    attribute list  $\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$   
                              `FROM`         $R_1, \dots, R_n$   
                              `WHERE`      condition  $c$

Simple  $c$ : a conjunction of equalities/inequalities

**SQL examples**

- Find theaters showing movies directed by Polanski:

```
SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title
      AND Movie.Director='Polanski'
```

- list directors and theaters in which their movies are playing

```
SELECT Movie.Director, Schedule.Theater
FROM Movie, Schedule
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title
```

## Relational Algebra

- We start with a subset of relational algebra that suffices to capture queries defined by simple rules, and by SQL `SELECT-FROM-WHERE` statements.
- The subset has three operations:
  - Projection  $\pi$
  - Selection  $\sigma$
  - Cartesian Product  $\times$
- This fragment of Relational Algebra is called SPC Algebra
- Sometimes we also use renaming  $\rho$  of attributes.

## Projection

- Chooses some attributes in a relation
- $\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R)$ : only leaves attributes  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in relation  $R$ .
- Example:

$\pi_{\text{title, director}}$	(	title	director	actor	=	title	director
		Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson		Shining	Kubrick
		Player	Altman	Robbins		Player	Altman
		Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson		Chinatown	Polanski
		Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski		Repulsion	Polanski
Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve					

- Provides the user with a *view* of data by hiding some attributes

## Selection

- Chooses tuples that satisfy some condition
- $\sigma_c(R)$ : only leaves tuples  $t$  for which  $c(t)$  is true
- Conditions: conjunctions of
  - $R.A = R.A'$  – two attributes are equal
  - $R.A = \text{constant}$  – the value of an attribute is a given constant
  - Same as above but with  $\neq$  instead of  $=$
- Examples:
  - Movie.Actor=Movie.Director
  - Movie.Actor  $\neq$  'Nicholson'
  - Movie.Actor=Movie.Director  $\wedge$  Movie.Actor='Nicholson'
- Provides the user with a *view* of data by hiding tuples that do not satisfy the condition the user wants.

## Selection: Example

$$\sigma_{\text{actor}=\text{director} \wedge \text{director}='Polanski'}$$

title	director	actor
Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson
Player	Altman	Robbins
Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson
Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski
Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve

=

title	director	actor
Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski



## Cartesian Product

- Puts together two relations
- $R_1 \times R_2$  puts together each tuple  $t_1$  of  $R_1$  and each tuple  $t_2$  of  $R_2$
- Example:

$R_1$	$A$	$B$	$\times$	$R_2$	$A$	$C$	$=$	$R_1.A$	$R_1.B$	$R_2.A$	$R_2.C$
	$a_1$	$b_1$			$a_1$	$c_1$		$a_1$	$b_1$	$a_1$	$c_1$
	$a_2$	$b_2$			$a_2$	$c_2$		$a_1$	$b_1$	$a_2$	$c_2$
					$a_3$	$c_3$		$a_1$	$b_1$	$a_3$	$c_3$
								$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_1$	$c_1$
								$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_2$	$c_2$
								$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_3$	$c_3$

- We renamed attributes to include the name of the relation: in the resulting table, all attributes must have different names.

## Cartesian Product: Example

Find theaters playing movies directed by Polanski

- $\text{answer}(\text{th}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{schedule}(\text{th}, \text{tl}), \text{dir} = \text{'Polanski'}$
- Step 1: Let  $R_1 = \text{Movie} \times \text{Schedule}$
- We don't need all tuples, only those in which titles are the same, so:
- Step 2: Let  $R_2 = \sigma_{\text{cond}}(R_1)$  where  $\text{cond}$  is  $\text{Movie.title} = \text{Schedule.title}$
- We are only interested in movies directed by Polanski, so

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\text{director} = \text{'Polanski'}}(R_2)$$

- In the output, we only want theaters, so finally

$$\text{Answer} = \pi_{\text{theater}}(R_3)$$

- Summing up, the answer is

$$\pi_{\text{theater}}(\sigma_{\text{director}='Polanski'}(\sigma_{\text{Movie.title}=\text{Schedule.title}}(\text{Movie} \times \text{Schedule})))$$

- Merging selections, this is equivalent to

$$\pi_{\text{theater}}(\sigma_{\text{director}='Polanski' \wedge \text{Movie.title}=\text{Schedule.title}}(\text{Movie} \times \text{Schedule})))$$

## Renaming

- Let  $R$  be a relation that has attribute  $A$  but does *not* have attribute  $B$ .
- $\rho_{B \leftarrow A}(R)$  is the same relation as  $R$  except that  $A$  is renamed to be  $B$ .

Example:

$$\rho_{\text{parent} \leftarrow \text{father}} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \text{father} & \text{child} \\ \hline \text{George} & \text{Elizabeth} \\ \text{Philip} & \text{Charles} \\ \text{Charles} & \text{William} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \text{parent} & \text{child} \\ \hline \text{George} & \text{Elizabeth} \\ \text{Philip} & \text{Charles} \\ \text{Charles} & \text{William} \end{array} \right)$$

- Renaming  $\rho_{A_1, \dots, A_m \leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_m}$ , for distinct  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  resp.  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  can be defined from it.
- Prefixing the relation name to rename attributes is a convenient method used in practice

- Not all problems are solved by this (e.g., Cartesian Product  $R \times R$ )

## Unnamed Perspective

- Renamings are for SPC immaterial, if we adopt the unnamed perspective.
- Example (again): Find theaters playing movies directed by Polanski:

Recall Movie: title, director, actor

Schedule: theater, title

$$\pi_1(\sigma_{2='Polanski' \wedge 1=5}(\text{Movie} \times \text{Schedule}))$$

- SPC Algebra is often identified with the unnamed setting
- For other fragments of Relational Algebra, named perspective is essential (to be seen later)
- Mixed use of settings can be convenient

## SQL and Relational Algebra

- We have to translate declarative languages into procedural languages

- Idea:

SELECT is projection  $\pi$

FROM is Cartesian product  $\times$

WHERE is selection  $\sigma$

- A simple case: only one relation in FROM

SELECT  $A, B, \dots$

FROM  $R$

WHERE condition  $c$

is translated into

$$\pi_{A,B,\dots} \left( \sigma_c(R) \right)$$

## Translating declarative queries into relational algebra

- Find titles of all movies
- `answer(tl) :- movie(tl,dir,act)`
- `SELECT Title`  
`FROM Movie`
- This is simply projection:

$$\pi_{\text{title}}(\text{Movie})$$



## Translation Examples

- Find theaters showing movies directed by Polanski:
- ```
SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title
      AND Movie.Director='Polanski'
```
- First, translate into a rule:  

```
answer(th) :- schedule(th,tl), movie(tl,'Polanski',act)
```
- Second, change into a rule such that:  
    constants appear only in conditions  
    no two variables are the same
- This gives us:  

```
answer(th) :- schedule(th,tl), movie(tl',dir,act), dir = 'Polanski', tl=tl'
```

## Translation Examples cont'd

answer(th) :- schedule(th,tl), movie(tl',dir,act), dir = 'Polanski', tl=tl'

Two relations  $\implies$  Cartesian product

Conditions  $\implies$  selection

Subset of attributes in the answer  $\implies$  projection

- Step 1:  $R_1 = \text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie}$
- Step 2: Make sure we talk about the same movie:

$$R_2 = \sigma_{\text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}}(R_1)$$

- Step 3: We are only interested in Polanski's movies:

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\text{Movie.director}=\text{Polanski}}(R_2)$$

- Step 4: we need only theaters in the output

$$\text{answer} = \pi_{\text{schedule.theater}}(R_3)$$

## Translation Examples cont'd

Summing up, the answer is:

$$\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}} \left( \sigma_{\text{Movie.director}=\text{Polanski}} \left( \sigma_{\text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}} \left( \text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie} \right) \right) \right)$$

or, using the rule  $\sigma_{c_1}(\sigma_{c_2}(R)) = \sigma_{c_1 \wedge c_2}(R)$ :

$$\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}} \left( \sigma_{\text{Movie.director}=\text{Polanski} \wedge \text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}} \left( \text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie} \right) \right)$$

**Formal translation: SQL to rule-based queries**

SELECT    attribute list  $\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$   
FROM       $R_1, \dots, R_n$   
WHERE     condition  $c$

is translated into:

$\text{answer}(\langle R_i.A_j \rangle) \text{ :- } R_1(\langle \text{attributes} \rangle),$   
     $\dots,$   
     $R_n(\langle \text{attributes} \rangle),$   
     $c$

## Rules into Relational Algebra

- How are rules translated into algebra?

$$\text{answer}(\vec{x}) :- R_1(\vec{x}_1), \dots, R_n(\vec{x}_n) \quad (2)$$

Wlog,

$$R_1, \dots, R_k \in \mathbf{R}, k \leq n, R_{k+1}, \dots, R_n \in \{=, \neq\};$$

Let  $R_{k+1}(\vec{x}_{k+1}), \dots, R_n(\vec{x}_n) =: \text{conditions}$

- First, make sure that no variable occurs in  $R_1(\vec{x}_1), \dots, R_k(\vec{x}_k)$ , at most once:  
 If we have  $R_i(\dots, x, \dots)$  and  $R_j(\dots, x, \dots)$ , turn them into  $R_i(\dots, x', \dots)$  and  $R_j(\dots, x'', \dots)$ , add  $x' = x''$  to the conditions, and if  $x$  occurs elsewhere, also  $x = x'$

- For example,

$$\text{answer}(\text{th}, \text{dir}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{schedule}(\text{th}, \text{tl})$$

is rewritten to

$$\text{answer}(\text{th}, \text{dir}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}', \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{schedule}(\text{th}, \text{tl}'), \text{tl}' = \text{tl}''$$

- Replace each occurrence of a constant  $a$  in an atom  $R_i(\dots, a, \dots)$ ,  $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , by some variable  $X$  and add  $X = a$  to the conditions
- Rewritten such rules of form (2) are translated into

$$\pi_{\hat{\alpha}}(\sigma_{\widehat{\text{conditions}}}(R_1 \times \dots \times R_n))$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  maps each variable  $x$  in  $\alpha$  to the corresponding attribute in  $\text{sort}(R_i)$  such that we have  $R_i(\dots, x, \dots)$ ,  $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , in the rule

## Putting it together: SQL into relational algebra

- Combining translations:  
SQL into rule-based queries and rule-based into relational algebra
- We have the following SQL to relational algebra translation:

```
SELECT  attribute list  $\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$   
FROM     $R_1, \dots, R_n$   
WHERE   condition  $c$ 
```

is translated into

$$\pi_{\langle R_i.A_j \rangle}(\sigma_c(R_1 \times \dots \times R_n))$$

## Another example

- Find theaters showing movies featuring Nicholson.

- ```
SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title
      AND Movie.Actor='Nicholson'
```

- Translate into a rule:

```
answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), schedule(th, tl)
```

- Modify the rule:

```
answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, act), schedule(th, tl'), tl=tl', act='Nicholson'
```



**Another example cont'd**

answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, act), schedule(th, tl'), tl=tl', act='Nicholson'

- Step 1:  $R_1 = \text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie}$
- Step 2: Make sure we talk about the same movie:

$$R_2 = \sigma_{\text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}}(R_1)$$

- Step 3: We are only interested in movies with Nicholson:

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\text{Movie.actor}=\text{Nicholson}}(R_2)$$

- Step 4: we need only theaters in the output

$$\text{answer} = \pi_{\text{schedule.theater}}(R_3)$$

Summing up:

$$\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}} \left( \sigma_{\text{Movie.actor}=\text{Nicholson} \wedge \text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}} (\text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie}) \right)$$

## SPC Algebra into SQL

- Converse mapping feasible as well
- Different ways to show this
- Direct Proof: useful normal form for SPC algebra

$$\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\sigma_c(R_1 \times \dots \times R_m))$$

“simple SPC queries”

- Easy mapping to SQL
- Indirect: Equivalence to query language which is equivalent to SQL

## Extension: Natural Join

- Combine all pairs of tuples  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in relations  $R_1$  resp.  $R_2$  that match on joint attributes
- The resulting relation  $R = R_1 \bowtie R_2$  is the **natural join** of  $R$  and  $S$ , defined on the set of attributes in  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ .
- Example: Schedule  $\bowtie$  Movie

title	director	actor		theater	title		title	director	actor	theater
Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson		Le Champo	Shining		Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson	Le Champo
Player	Altman	Robbins		Le Champo	Chinatown		Player	Altman	Robbins	Le Champo
Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	$\bowtie$	Le Champo	Player	=	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	Le Champo
Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski		Odéon	Chinatown		Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	Odéon
Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve		Odéon	Repulsion		Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski	Le Champo
							Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski	Odéon
							Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve	Odéon

## Join cont'd

- Join is not a new operation of relational algebra
- It is **definable** with  $\pi, \sigma, \times$
- Suppose
  - $R$  is a relation with attributes  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_k$
  - $S$  is a relation with attributes  $A_1, \dots, A_n, C_1, \dots, C_m$
  - $R \bowtie S$  has attributes  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_k, C_1, \dots, C_m$

$$R \bowtie S =$$

$$\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_k, C_1, \dots, C_m} \left( \sigma_{R.A_1=S.A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge R.A_n=S.A_n} (R \times S) \right)$$

- Note: named perspective is crucial

## Select-Project-Join (SPJ) Queries

Queries of form

$$\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\sigma_c(R_1 \bowtie \dots \bowtie R_m))$$

- These are the most common queries, correspond to simple rules.
- Example: Find theaters showing movies directed by Polanski:
- $\text{answer}(\text{th}) \text{ :- schedule}(\text{th}, \text{tl}), \text{movie}(\text{tl}, \text{'Polanski'}, \text{act})$
- As SPJ query:

$$\pi_{\text{theater}}(\sigma_{\text{director}=\text{'Polanski'}}(\text{Movie} \bowtie \text{Schedule}))$$

- What is simpler compared to earlier version?

$$\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}}(\sigma_{\text{Movie.director}=\text{'Polanski'} \wedge \text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}}(\text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie}))$$

- Selection  $\text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}$  is eliminated; it is implied by the join.

**SPJ queries cont'd**

- Find theaters showing movies featuring Nicholson.
- $\text{answer}(\text{th}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{'Nicholson'}), \text{schedule}(\text{th}, \text{tl})$
- As SPJ query:

$$\pi_{\text{theater}}(\sigma_{\text{actor}=\text{'Nicholson'}}(\text{Movie} \bowtie \text{Schedule}))$$

## Translating SPJ queries back into rules and SQL

- $Q = \pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(\sigma_c(R \bowtie S))$
- Equivalent SQL statement ( $B_1, \dots, B_m =$  common attributes in  $R$  and  $S$ ):
 

```

SELECT  A1, ..., An
FROM    R, S
WHERE   c AND R.B1 = S.B1 AND ... AND R.Bm = S.Bm

```
- Equivalent rule query: ( $R$  resp.  $S$  has attributes  $A_1 \dots, A_k$  resp.  $C_1, \dots, C_l$ )
 
$$\text{answer}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \text{ :- } R(A_1, \dots, A_k), S(C_1, \dots, C_l),$$

$$R.B_1 = S.B_1, \dots, R.B_m = S.B_m, c$$

**SPJ to SQL: Example**

- Find directors of currently playing movies featuring Ford:

- $\pi_{\text{director}}(\sigma_{\text{actor}='Ford'}(\text{Movie} \bowtie \text{Schedule}))$

- In SQL:

```
SELECT Movie.director
FROM Movie, Schedule
WHERE Movie.title=Schedule.title AND
       Movie.actor='Ford'
```



## What we've seen so far

- Simple queries given by SQL `SELECT-FROM-WHERE`
- Same queries are defined by rules
- They are also the same queries as those definable by  $\pi, \sigma, \times$  in relational algebra, i.e., by SPC queries
- Question: What about SPJ?

SPJ queries are *not* a normal form for the  $\sigma, \pi, \bowtie$  - fragment of Relational Algebra

Need renaming for preventing unwanted joins

- SPJR Algebra =  $\sigma, \pi, \bowtie, \rho$  - fragment of Relational Algebra

## Equivalence of SPC and SPJR Algebras

**Proposition.** The SPC Algebra and the SPJR Algebra are equivalent.

Note:

- Using Renaming, Cartesian Product can be easily emulated.
- Also SQL provides renaming construct

New attribute names can be introduced in `SELECT` using keyword `AS`.

```
SELECT Father AS Parent, Child
FROM R1
```

## Nested SQL queries: simple example

- So far in the `WHERE` clause we used comparisons of attributes.
- In general, a `WHERE` clause could contain *another query*, and test some relationship between an attribute and the result of that query.
- We call queries like this *nested*, as they use *subqueries*
- Example: Find theaters showing Polanski's movies

```
SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule
WHERE Schedule.Title IN
      (SELECT Movie.Title
       FROM Movie
       WHERE Movie.Director='Polanski')
```

## Nested queries: comparison

```
SELECT S.Theater
FROM Schedule S
WHERE S.Title IN
      (SELECT M.Title
       FROM Movie M
       WHERE M.Director='Polanski')
```

```
SELECT S.Theater
FROM Schedule S, Movie M
WHERE S.Title=M.Title
      AND M.Director='Polanski'
```

- These express the same query
- On the left, each subquery refers to one relation
- The real advantage of nesting is that one can use more complex predicates than IN.

## Equivalence Theorem

### Theorem.

SPJR Queries

= SPC Queries

= simple SPC queries

= conjunctive queries

= SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE

= SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE with IN-nesting

## Disjunction in queries

- Find actors who played in movies directed by Kubrick *OR* Polanski?
- ```
SELECT Actor  
FROM Movie  
WHERE Director='Kubrick' OR Director='Polanski'
```
- Can this be defined by a *single* rule?
- NO!

## Disjunction in queries cont'd

- Solution: Disjunction can be represented by more than one rule.
- $\text{answer}(\text{act}) :- \text{movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{dir} = \text{'Kubrick'}$   
 $\text{answer}(\text{act}) :- \text{movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{dir} = \text{'Polanski'}$
- Semantics: compute answers to each of the rules, and then take their *union* (*Union of conjunctive queries*)
- SQL has another syntax for that:

```
SELECT Actor
FROM Movie
WHERE Director='Kubrick'
UNION
SELECT Actor
FROM Movie
WHERE Director='Polanski'
```

## Disjunction in queries cont'd

- How to translate a query with disjunction into relational algebra?

- $\text{answer}(\text{act}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{dir} = \text{'Kubrick'}$

is translated into

$$Q_1 = \pi_{\text{actor}}(\sigma_{\text{director}=\text{Kubrick}}(\text{Movie}))$$

- $\text{answer}(\text{act}) \text{ :- movie}(\text{tl}, \text{dir}, \text{act}), \text{dir} = \text{'Polanski'}$

is translated into

$$Q_2 = \pi_{\text{actor}}(\sigma_{\text{director}=\text{Polanski}}(\text{Movie}))$$

- The whole query is translated into  $Q_1 \cup Q_2$

$$\pi_{\text{actor}}(\sigma_{\text{director}=\text{Kubrick}}(\text{Movie})) \cup \pi_{\text{actor}}(\sigma_{\text{director}=\text{Polanski}}(\text{Movie}))$$



## Union in relational algebra

- Another operation of relational algebra: union
- $R \cup S$  is the union of relations  $R$  and  $S$
- $R$  and  $S$  must have the same set of attributes.
- We now have four relational algebra operations:

$$\pi, \sigma, \times, \cup$$

(and of course  $\bowtie$  which is definable from  $\pi, \sigma, \times$ )

- This fragment is called SPCU-Algebra, or *positive relational algebra*.

**Interaction of relational algebra operators**

- $\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R \cup S) = \pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R) \cup \pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(S)$
- $\sigma_c(R \cup S) = \sigma_c(R) \cup \sigma_c(S)$
- $(R \cup S) \times T = R \times T \cup S \times T$
- $T \times (R \cup S) = T \times R \cup T \times S$

**SPCU queries**

**Theorem.** *Every SPCU query is equivalent to a union of SPC queries.*

Proof: propagate the union operation.

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_A(\sigma_c((R \times (S \cup T)) \cup W)) \\ &= \pi_A(\sigma_c((R \times S) \cup (R \times T) \cup W)) \\ &= \pi_A(\sigma_c(R \times S) \cup \sigma_c(R \times T) \cup \sigma_c(W)) \\ &= \pi_A(\sigma_c(R \times S)) \cup \pi_A(\sigma_c(R \times T)) \cup \pi_A(\sigma_c(W)) \end{aligned}$$

## Equivalences II

### Theorem.

Positive relational algebra (SPCU queries)

= unions of SPC queries

= queries defined by multiple rules

= unions of conjunctive queries

= SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE-UNION

= SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE-UNION with IN-nesting

= SPJRU queries ( $\sigma, \pi, \bowtie, \rho, \cup$ )

Question: is INTERSECTION an SPJRU query?

That is, given  $R, S$  with the same set of attributes, find  $R \cap S$ .

## Bibliography

- [1] S. Abiteboul, R. Hull, and V. Vianu. *Foundations of Databases*. Addison-Wesley, 1995.
- [2] D. Maier. *The Theory of Relational Databases*. Computer Science Press, Rockville, Md., 1983.
- [3] J. D. Ullman. *Principles of Database and Knowledge Base Systems*. Computer Science Press, 1989.